HB 61 (SB 53) Author: Rep. Pearson and Sen. Guillory

The actuarial computations presented in this note were prepared by Buck Consultants, LLC. Other content was supplied by the Louisiana Division of Administration.

Date: April 12, 2012

Organizations Affected:

Louisiana state retirement systems

Bill Header: RETIREMENT/STATE SYSTEMS: Relative to the Louisiana State Employees Retirement System and the Teachers Retirement System of Louisiana, makes changes to benefits for certain persons hired on or after January 1, 2013.

### Cost Summary:

Actuarial Cost/(Savings) to Retirement Systems and OGB Total Five Year Fiscal Cost	Actuarial Savings, See Actuarial Analysis Below
Expenditures	(\$52,473,012)
Revenues	(\$0)

### **Estimated Actuarial Impact:**

The chart below shows the estimated increase/(decrease) in the expected levels of contributions attributable to the proposed legislation. Note: it includes the present value cost of fiscal costs associated with benefit changes. It does not include present value costs associated with other fiscal concerns.

•	Increase (Decrease) in
Actuarial Cost (Savings ) to:	The Actuarial Present Value
All Louisiana public retirement systems	See Actuarial Analysis Below
Other post-retirement benefits	\$0
Total	See Actuarial Analysis Below

### **Estimated Fiscal Impact:**

Five-year impact - expected reduction of annual state contributions to LASERS and TRSL if enacted:

	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2015-2016
LASERS	\$0	\$9,832,963	\$10,233,863	\$11,711,233	\$14,692,689
TRSL	\$0	\$957,958	\$825,466	\$1,633,347	\$2,585,493

 $Longer-term\ savings-expected\ reduction\ of\ \underline{cumulative}\ state\ contributions\ (in\ \$\ million)\ to\ LASERS\ and\ TRSL\ if\ enacted:$ 

	FY2016	FY2020	FY2024	FY2028	FY2032	FY2036	FY2040
LASERS	\$31.1	\$113.7	\$324.3	\$761.6	\$1,386.7	\$2,049.7	\$2,879.3
TRSL	\$1.9	\$22.9	\$94.9	\$231.4	\$429.7	\$680.4	\$943.8

Five-year impact – state contributions as a percentage of payroll to LASERS and TRSL if enacted:

	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2015-2016
LASERS	28.2%	31.4%	30.0%	28.4%	28.6%
TRSL	26.5%	28.1%	28.8%	29.1%	29.4%

### **Bill Information:**

### **Current Law**

Current law provides for the following state retirement systems:

- Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System (LASERS)
- Teachers' Retirement System of Louisiana (TRSL)
- Louisiana School Employees' Retirement System (LSERS)
- · State Police Pension and Retirement System (STPOL)

A brief summary of the plans within each state system is given below:

#### LASERS

LASERS has many different plans that apply to future employees of the state. Some of the plans are for non-hazardous duty personnel and other are for those employed in hazardous professions. Each plan contains unique design features. The major structures are identified below.

Non Hazardous Duty Plans	Active Members
Rank and File Employees	53,637
Judicial Personnel	333
Legislative Personnel	18
Governor and Lieutenant Governor	2
Clerk of House, Secretary of Senate	2
Sergeants at Arms House & Senate	2
Hazardous Duty Plans	Active Members
Wildlife	223
Corrections Officers	5,216
Crescent City Bridge Police	Unknown
Alcohol Tobacco Control	48
Peace Officers	114

### TRSL

There are three plans within TRSL. These plans are all considered to be non-hazardous duty plans.

Non Hazardous Duty Plans	Active Members
Regular Teachers	82,316
Lunch Plan A Workers	144
Lunch Plan B Workers	1,259

### **LSERS**

There is only one plan structure in LSERS applicable to future new hires. This plan is a non hazardous duty plan. There are 12,589 active members in the plan.

### STPOL

There is only one plan structure within STPOL applicable to new hires. This plan is a hazardous duty plan. There are 1,081 active members in the plan.

### Proposed Law

For non-hazardous duty employees hired on or after January 1, 2013, who would be covered by LASERS and higher-education employees hired on or after January 1, 2013, who would be covered by TRSL, HB 61 (SB 53) will provide coverage under a cash-balance plan instead of the current final-average-pay-based plans.

Current employees will also be eligible to voluntarily move to the cash-balance plan.

Under the cash-balance plan, participating employees will continue to contribute 8 percent of pay. Employees will receive an annual pay credit of 12 percent and an annual interest credit set equal to the system's actuarial return on assets, less 1 percent.

Taxpayers bear less risk because the 1 percent is held by the system as a buffer against market losses and the benefit is tied to market returns. Because the expected level of future interest credits are set at a level that is one percent below the anticipated annual rate of return on assets, the annual 4% addition to the account balance provided at retirement may be financed with a contribution of less than 4% of pay.

Employees are protected against market downturns because they receive an investment account which can never lose value.

The cash-balance benefits are also more portable. After five years in the plan, an employee can roll over the entire account balance upon termination of employment. Employees also have the option of converting the account balance into a lifetime annuity starting at age 60. A lifetime annuity is a retirement benefit that cannot be outlived.

### Cost Analysis

### **Analysis of Actuarial Costs**

### Retirement Systems

No unfunded accrued liabilities will be created by the enactment of HB 61 (SB 53). The provisions of this bill apply only to state employees who will be hired in the future.

Because the effect on state contributions of implementation of the new arrangement will vary according to the return on retirement system investments, we have modeled the effects of the proposed change on state contributions to the retirement systems in a stochastic manner, using assumptions set forth on subsequent pages about future returns on assets held by the retirement systems to project the range of possible state contributions to the two affected retirement systems with and without the proposed changes. The results are shown below:

Projected Accumulated State Contributions (in \$ million) - Current LASERS Plan

	FY2016	FY2020	FY2024	FY2028	FY2032	FY2036	FY2040
95th percentile	\$5,224.6	\$10,297.4	\$16,144.3	\$23,112.3	\$30,409.4	\$38,451.8	\$47,879.5
75th percentile	\$4,800.6	\$9,066.6	\$14,018.5	\$19,193.9	\$24,370.8	\$29,628.5	\$35,115.2
50th percentile	\$4,594.7	\$8,279.8	\$12,176.8	\$16,116.6	\$19,359.0	\$22,085.0	\$24,987.3
25th percentile	\$4,435.8	\$7,572.2	\$10,539.9	\$13,092.0	\$14,987.8	\$17,143.8	\$19,418.4
5th percentile	\$4,238.6	\$6,757.9	\$8,454.8	\$9,930.3	\$11,563.0	\$13,492.1	\$15,592.2

Projected Accumulated State Contributions (in \$ million) - Cash Balance Plan for New LASERS Entrants

	FY2016	FY2020	FY2024	FY2028	FY2032	FY2036	FY2040
95th percentile	\$5,192.1	\$10,190.5	\$15,795.9	\$22,432.3	\$28,626.9	\$35,759.5	\$43,131.1
75th percentile	\$4,768.5	\$8,960.3	\$13,675.9	\$18,434.7	\$22,918.6	\$27,141.9	\$31,201.9
50th percentile	\$4,568.0	\$8,177.8	\$11,852.0	\$15,266.1	\$18,051.2	\$20,248.3	\$22,137.0
25th percentile	\$4,405.8	\$7,433.8	\$10,092.0	\$12,307.7	\$13,767.7	\$15,207.1	\$16,756.9
5th percentile	\$4,192.6	\$6,520.2	\$7,902.1	\$9,024.9	\$10,230.4	\$11,632.7	\$12,941.5

Projected Savings in Accumulated State Contributions (in \$ million) - LASERS

	FY2016	FY2020	FY2024	FY2028	FY2032	FY2036	FY2040
95th percentile	\$63.9	\$290.5	\$700.6	\$1,306.0	\$2,240.4	\$3,758.5	\$6,037.6
75th percentile	\$35.2	\$141.4	\$410.7	\$934.4	\$1,675.7	\$2,730.7	\$4,199.1
50th percentile	\$31.1	\$113.7	\$324.3	\$761.6	\$1,386.7	\$2,049.7	\$2,879.3
25th percentile	\$22.7	\$94.5	\$266.9	\$635.2	\$1,083.9	\$1,465.1	\$2,079.2
5th percentile	\$7.3	\$63.9	\$209.0	\$445.9	\$696.9	\$869.7	\$1,090.2

Projected Accumulated State Contributions (in \$ million) - Current TRSL Plan

	FY2016	FY2020	FY2024	FY2028	FY2032	FY2036	FY2040
95th percentile	\$8,291.3	\$16,491.6	\$25,910.2	\$36,939.5	\$49,041.8	\$62,095.8	\$77,266.4
75th percentile	\$7,655.2	\$14,652.9	\$22,693.7	\$31,188.5	\$39,839.5	\$48,828.6	\$57,695.2
50th percentile	\$7,340.6	\$13,456.2	\$19,945.3	\$26,487.0	\$32,273.9	\$36,827.7	\$42,314.6
25th percentile	\$7,102.8	\$12,400.8	\$17,476.0	\$22,015.5	\$25,556.4	\$29,081.4	\$33,122.3
5th percentile	\$6,799.5	\$11,196.1	\$14,203.5	\$16,831.9	\$19,555.6	\$22,953.1	\$26,443.6

Projected Accumulated State Contributions (in \$ million) - Cash Balance Plan for New TRSL Entrants

	FY2016	FY2020	FY2024	FY2028	FY2032	FY2036	FY2040
95th percentile	\$8,285.7	\$16,455.8	\$25,824.7	\$36,819.0	\$48,620.4	\$61,398.4	\$76,097.4
75th percentile	\$7,652.5	\$14,641.3	\$22,618.9	\$31,029.1	\$39,372.0	\$48,093.3	\$56,676.1
50th percentile	\$7,346.6	\$13,463.3	\$19,894.8	\$26,203.7	\$31,702.2	\$36,373.2	\$40,676.5
25th percentile	\$7,111.8	\$12,376.9	\$17,272.1	\$21,628.5	\$24,858.4	\$28,377.4	\$32,371.7
5th percentile	\$6,787.0	\$11,050.4	\$13,867.3	\$16,354.2	\$19,116.8	\$22,365.8	\$25,792.1

Projected Savings in Accumulated State Contributions (in \$ million) - TRSL

	FY2016	FY2020	FY2024	FY2028	FY2032	FY2036	FY2040
95th percentile	\$38.9	\$244.8	\$630.0	\$976.3	\$1,336.3	\$1,749.8	\$2,147.9
75th percentile	\$6.0	\$42.7	\$215.6	\$469.4	\$694.1	\$973.2	\$1,309.8
50th percentile	\$1.9	\$22.9	\$94.9	\$231.4	\$429.7	\$680.4	\$943.8
25th percentile	(\$17.5)	(\$18.8)	\$19.5	\$99.6	\$232.6	\$386.3	\$559.8
5th percentile	(\$49.7)	(\$112.7)	(\$150.8)	(\$150.9)	(\$124.6)	(\$27.9)	\$71.4

Page 3 of 6

Projected Unfunded Accrued Liability (in \$ million) - Current LASERS Plan

	FY2016	FY2020	FY2024	FY2028	FY2032	FY2036	FY2040
95th percentile	9,819.76	11,706.79	13,058.74	14,812.39	16,418.19	18,292.90	21,987.50
75th percentile	7,877.17	8,711.04	9,107.96	9,350.48	9,148.14	8,686.69	7,618.99
50th percentile	6,792.55	6,768.84	5,922.62	4,341.37	2,162.17	(1,130.32)	(7,257.79)
25th percentile	5,859.96	4,624.84	2,197.95	(940.64)	(7,430.91)	(17,413.27)	(33,781.43)
5th percentile	4,773.32	1,744.70	(4,388.12)	(13,611.22)	(31,058.59)	(59,489.03)	(106,843.71)

Projected Unfunded Accrued Liability (in \$ million) - Cash Balance Plan for New LASERS Entrants

	FY2016	FY2020	FY2024	FY2028	FY2032	FY2036	FY2040
95th percentile	9,812.17	11,668.13	12,845.14	13,966.02	14,924.49	15,970.87	16,491.76
75th percentile	7,865.78	8,702.51	8,885.12	8,706.00	8,176.85	7,319.77	5,168.09
50th percentile	6,787.94	6,699.35	5,638.13	3,880.98	1,640.73	(1,645.40)	(8,540.82)
25th percentile	5,816.79	4,463.50	1,766.57	(1,687.06)	(7,659.09)	(16,987.09)	(32,209.28)
5th percentile	4,580.94	1,271.70	(5,598.92)	(14,976.32)	(31,364.30)	(58,000.14)	(100,179.37)

Projected Reduction in Unfunded Accrued Liability (in \$ million) - LASERS

	FY2016	FY2020	FY2024	FY2028	FY2032	FY2036	FY2040
95th percentile	281.90	661.54	1,142.89	1,607.41	2,248.61	3,363.33	6,263.38
75th percentile	11.23	205.18	554.46	928.09	1,380.91	1,735.71	3,335.08
50th percentile	5.65	44.48	315.66	629.61	813.04	520.37	1,115.60
25th percentile	0.46	(6.81)	173.82	377.79	176.95	(1,033.87)	(1,855.32)
5th percentile	(6.87)	(62.61)	26.24	(20.36)	(904.71)	(3,882.28)	(7,913.00)

Projected Unfunded Accrued Liability (in \$ million) - Current TRSL Plan

	FY2016	FY2020	FY2024	FY2028	FY2032	FY2036	FY2040
95th percentile	16,121.49	18,732.23	20,664.66	23,291.25	25,728.07	28,695.90	34,393.14
75th percentile	13,205.63	14,326.12	14,765.02	15,003.33	14,673.05	13,741.37	11,854.76
50th percentile	11,586.92	11,408.06	10,082.16	7,639.01	4,068.29	(1,128.25)	(11,806.16)
25th percentile	10,178.06	8,229.86	4,648.30	(511.93)	(9,856.34)	(25,659.05)	(51,489.71)
5th percentile	8,593.08	4,067.39	(5,112.34)	(17,790.99)	(43,392.69)	(86,234.69)	(157,456.31)

Projected Unfunded Accrued Liability (in \$ million) - Cash Balance Plan for New TRSL Entrants

	FY2016	FY2020	FY2024	FY2028	FY2032	FY2036	FY2040
95th percentile	16,121.75	18,714.33	20,586.94	23,208.80	25,356.56	28,262.69	33,543.00
75th percentile	13,204.52	14,318.05	14,629.42	14,839.91	14,464.34	13,176.88	11,209.49
50th percentile	11,588.07	11,362.06	9,818.55	7,343.69	3,785.74	(2,089.33)	(11,883.53)
25th percentile	10,123.87	7,988.92	4,061.91	(1,115.92)	(10,903.89)	(26,415.30)	(51,672.03)
5th percentile	8,260.49	3,268.27	(6,469.96)	(20,631.12)	(45,532.99)	(89,294.08)	(159,711.36)

Projected Reduction in Unfunded Accrued Linbility (in \$ million) - TRSL

	FY2016	FY2020	FY2024	FY2028	FY2032	FY2036	FY2040
95th percentile	411.23	1,078.83	1,677.69	2,328.14	2,793.23	3,409.25	4,683.01
75th percentile	1.34	327.14	691.32	886.09	946,17	999.61	1,192.39
50th percentile	(0.08)	13.66	225.44	386.58	474.30	540.08	687.52
25th percentile	(1.75)	(6.71)	11.70	108.74	233.76	287.10	282.67
5th percentile	(7.73)	(74.32)	(142.11)	(166.83)	(73.07)	(150.90)	(725.48)

# Other Post Retirement Benefits

There are no actuarial costs or savings associated with HB 61 (SB 53) for other post employment benefits.

Based on the stochastic modeling performed, the following conclusions can be drawn:

### With respect to LASERS:

- The 12% of pay cash balance benefit formula crediting the portfolio return less 1% results in a benefit reduction of 15%-35% for a new member (using an 8.25% rate of return; exact result depends on member demographic characteristics) relative to the current LASERS plan.
- 2. Examples of likely benefits under the new plan and the current plan at three different pay levels are shown below:

		Low pay at \$ 10,000						Ave	pay at \$ 50			High pay at \$ 75,000						
Estimated Annual Benefit Amounts		ort service 10 years		ium service 20 years		ong service t 30 years		ort service 10 years		flum service 20 years		ong service at 30 years		hort service 1.10 years		um service 20 years		ng service i 30 years
Estimated pay at retirement	\$	57,652	5	92,572	\$	141,575	\$	98,088	\$	154,280	\$	235,900	\$	144,132	\$	231,431	\$	353,939
Current Plan								-					1					
- DB plan benefit	\$	12.871	5	42,468	5_	97.780	5	21,452	5	70,781	5_	102.907	5	32.178	\$	108,170	5_	244,450
- Total current plan	\$	12,871	\$	42,468	\$	97,780	8	21,452	3	70,781	5	162,987	\$	32,178	\$	108,170	\$	244,450
- Total current plan replacement income		22%		46%		69%		22%		48%		89%	H	22%		46%		89%
12% Cash Balance																		
- 12% Cash Balance		8,192		30,774	_	84,169		13,653		51,291		140,282	l	20,480		76,938	_	210,423
- Total plan benefit	\$	8,192	\$	30,774	\$	84,169	\$	13,653	\$	51,291	\$	140,282	\$	20,480	5	76,936	5	210,423
- Total plan replacement income	7	14%		33%	1	59%		14%		33%	1	59%		14%		33%		59%

- Cost savings were analyzed over numerous potential economic and capital market environments, and there was a consistent pattern of reduction of projected contributions. The comments that follow apply across the various environments.
- 4. The 30-year reduction in accumulated contributions for new members only is likely in the range of 24%-35%. Factors that affect the ultimate savings are:
  - a. Actual new entrant demographics
  - b. Portfolio returns. Higher portfolio returns increase the cash balance plan costs due to higher cash balance account crediting rates, while lower cash balance returns lead to lower interest credits
- 5. The 30-year reduction in retirement system volatility attributable to new members only is approximately 40%
- The 30-year reduction in accumulated contributions for LASERS in total (i.e., member and state contributions) is 4%-10%.
- 7. The projected reduction in retirement system volatility for LASERS in total at the end of 30 years is nearly 10%.

### With respect to TRSL:

- The 12% of pay cash balance benefit formula crediting the portfolio return less 1% results in a benefit reduction of 15%-35% for a new member (using an 8.25% rate of return; exact result depends on member demographic characteristics) relative to the current TRSL plan.
- 2. Examples of likely benefits under the new plan and the current plan at three different pay levels are shown below:

	Low pay at \$ 39,880							pay at \$ 50	000		High pay at \$ 75,000						
Estimated Annual Benefit Amounts	Short service at 10 years	Medium se at 20 year		Long service at 30 years		hort service at 10 years		dium service t 20 years		ong senice at 30 years		ort service t 10 years		dium service t 20 years		ng service 1 30 years	
Estimated pay at retirement	\$ 57,66	\$ 92	.672	\$ 141,575	5	98,088	5	154,289	\$	235,900	5	144,132	\$	231,431	\$	353,93	
Current Plan																	
- DB plan benefit	\$ 13.61	3 5 44	322	\$ 101,864	5_	22,688	5	73,870	5_	109,774	5_	34,032	1	110,804	\$	254.66	
- Total current plan	\$ 13,61	3 \$ 44	322	\$ 101,864	. \$	22,688	\$	73,870	\$	169,774	\$	34,032	\$	110,804	\$	254,66	
- Total current plan replacement income	24	4	48%	72%	i	24%		48%		72%	H	24%		48%	-	725	
12% Cash Balance																	
- 12% Cash Balance	8,19	30	774	84,169	1	13,653		51,291		140,282	1	20,480		78,938		210,42	
- Total plan benefit	\$ 8,19	\$ 30	,774	\$ 84,169	5	13,653	\$	51,291	5	140,282	5	20,480	8	78,938	\$	210,42	
- Total plan replacement income	14	4	33%	59%		14%		33%		59%		14%		33%		599	

- 3. Cost savings were analyzed over numerous potential economic and capital market environments, and there was a consistent pattern of reduction of projected contributions. Savings are projected to result in over 50% of outcomes in the first 10 years, over 75% of outcomes in years 10-15 and over 95% of outcomes at the end of 30 years. The comments that follow apply across the various environments.
- 4. The 30-year reduction in accumulated contributions and volatility for new members only is expected to be in a range comparable to that found under LASERS.
- The 30-year projected reduction in accumulated contributions for TRSL in total (i.e., member and state contributions) is up to 2%.
- 6. The projected reduction in retirement system volatility for TRSL in total at the end of 30 years is approximately 1%.

In evaluating these results, it is important to bear in mind that the changes proposed in the legislation covered by this fiscal note pertain only to the benefits of future new hires in certain categories of employment for which LASERS and TRSL provide retirement benefits. Proposals that would alter benefits for current members of LASERS and TRSL are the subject of a separate fiscal note.

In assessing these outcomes, one should consider the reduction in volatility (year-to-year variability) of contributions as well as their absolute level, as both are relevant in assessing the effect of the proposals on the actuarial soundness of the systems. Reductions in volatility are achieved principally via the adjustment of benefits to reflect investment results, which is not a feature of traditional defined-benefit designs. While this adjustment limits the exposure of the state to investment-driven movement in contribution requirements, the protection afforded the state is limited by the fact that rates credited on cash balance accounts may not be negative even when rates of returns on assets are, and by the fact that rates of return in excess of expected levels will be shared with participants and not entirely used to abate the state's obligation to contribute. In particular, the sharing of very high rates of return on plan assets in determining interest credits account for the fact that the accumulated contribution savings are negative in some scenarios; in these scenarios, the cash-balance plan would actually be more generous in terms of benefits provided than the current plan.

An unfunded liability could result under the cash-balance plan if, for example, there were severe or sustained asset losses that could not be reflected in account balances due to the constraint that annual interest credits may not be less than zero.

Except as otherwise specified, the data, actuarial assumptions and methods used to prepare these projections are the same as those used in the 2011 actuarial valuations of LASERS and TRSL. The demographics of projected new entrants in the stochastic modeling were based on the characteristics of new entrants to the systems in recent years. The distribution of future returns on assets was projected in accordance with the attached table of capital market assumptions.

Dual Referral	
Senate	House
13.5,1 ≥ \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost	6.8(F) ≥ \$100,000 Annual Fiscal Cost
13.5.2 ≥ \$100,000 Annual Tax or Fee Change	6.8(G) ≥ \$100,000 Tax or Fee Increase or a Net Fee Decrease